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ABSTRACT
With the popularity of smartphones, mobile application markets have been growing exponentially in terms of the number of users and downloads. As a report from Statista.com says that by 2020 mobile apps are forecast to generate around 189 billion U.S. dollars in revenues via app stores and in-app advertising. So, Google Play store is a crucial place in the field of business. In this work a system has been developed that can mine important data from google play store with the help of app crawler and find correlation among apps rating, reviews, installs and price. The correlation using Spearman Rank Correlation and Pearson Correlation have been compared as well. Besides, reviews have been crawled from the play store for better understanding. The analysis on review tells the top positive and negative keywords in free and paid apps. The system will help to give an overview of google play stores current condition.
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1. INTRODUCTION
With the vast popularity of smart phones, mobile application markets have been growing exponentially in terms of the number of users and downloads. As a report from Statista.com says that by 2020 mobile apps are forecast to generate around 189 billion U.S. dollars in revenues via app stores and in-app advertising [1]. The mobile app market is growing faster than before. The industry is huge and growing daily, and there is no end in sight. Google play store is one of those app stores which holds over 76% market share currently [2].

Google Play Store is one of the most popular mobile application markets. It has aggregated vital information created by both developers and users. In the app store product pages, developers usually describe and update the features of their apps. The users give rating to the apps. They share their opinions about apps as well. Recent studies focused on mining app features described by developers as extracting the features from the app descriptions is essential. From Statista.com, there are more than 2.7 million Android apps present on play store as of July, 2019 [1]. Almost 13% of apps are known as low-quality apps. Many apps lack core features as well as extra features that should be present on that apps. So, the motivation behind this project is to find correlation among apps rating, reviews, size, install, price etc. to analyze the condition of the apps market.

2. RELATED WORK
Over the past years, some works were done on app store analytics [3]. Most of these works focus on mining a large amount of app store data to derive advice for analysts, developers, and users. Mark Harman et al. [4] proposed a system which analyzed the Blackberry app store to find correlation and feature extraction. But they experimented on a limited number of apps. Besides, Blackberry app store is outdated now as it contains less than 1% market share nowadays. Timo Johann et al. [5] proposed a system to extract features from app descriptions and app reviews. But this does not show any correlation among the factors-price, download and rating for better perception. Ning Chen et al. [6] introduced AR Miner computation framework for app review extractions. Lorenzo Villarroe, Gabriele Bavota, Barbara Russo, Rocco Oliveto, Massimiliano Di Penta introduces CLAP (Crowd Listener for Release Planning) for categorizing user views. They made the system based on app review. So the app description and the correlations are beyond the scope of this paper. Emitza Guzman et al. [7] proposed a system to analyze user reviews based on sentiment analysis. This gives an automated approach that helps developers filter, aggregate and analyze user reviews.

Al-Subaihinn et al. [8] designed a system to measure app similarity based on mined textual features. Lorenzo Villaroel et al. [9] proposed a technique based on user reviews. Besides some more work has been done based on product descriptions in [10,11,12,13]. Related works that are done have limitations as well as scope to be developed. Very few works have been done for play store apps analyzing based on product description and correlation factors, rating, price and number of downloads. So, to remove limitations and provide an efficient way, an app store mining technique have been developed which will ensure an efficient way to analyze the google play app store.

3. PRELIMINARIES
The task of the Google Play Store Data Mining and Analysis can be divided into four main sub-problems. The sub problems are:
—Collection of raw app data from google play store with the help of app crawler
—Parsing the raw data into app name, category, review, size, price, genre etc.
—Correlation of different factors among the apps will be determined
—Finally, top positive and negative keywords will be determined from review section

In the initial stage, raw app data have been collected from google play store. BeautifulSoup python library has been used to crawl app data from the site. After running the python program, apps data have been crawled from the google play store and stored in csv format. In the parsing stage, a python library called Pandas was used to create a data frame of our data set. Then the data set were cleaned. Pandas were used to remove duplicate from the data set. Besides, some conversions like string to integer has been done to properly utilize the data. The next stage involves finding the correlation between various factors like apps rating, review, size, installs, price. Python data analysis module Pandas was used to analysis those factors. Besides, Matplotlib library was used to visualize those part. Top positive and negative
The architecture is shown in the following figure.

4. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

There are mainly three sections in this chapter. First section is about the system architecture of the Google Play Store Data Mining and Analysis where different modules of the architecture and relationship among them are described briefly. Among the main modules of the architecture like web crawling module, parsing raw data module, pre-processing module, correlation module. In the next section, the flow graph of the system was shown. The analytical representation of the system have been discussed which gives the details of the developed system with different algorithms, flowcharts required for analysis after that.

4.1 System Architecture

The system architecture of Google Play Store Data Mining and Analysis comprises following basic modules:

—Collecting raw app data from google play store with the help of app crawler.
—Parsing the data into apps name, category, rating, reviews, size, price etc.
—Pre-processing the data to remove noises and extra letters.
—Finding correlation among various factors like rating, reviews, size, price etc.
—Comparing the correlation using Spearman and Pearson correlation coefficient.
—Determining the top positive and negative keywords from user reviews.

The architecture is shown in the following figure.

4.1.1 Data Collection. A web crawling system has been implemented to collect the raw webpage data from the app store. The crawler first collects all category information of the app store and scans each category page to find the list of addresses of all the apps in each category, then it extracts raw data of each app within each category. Python library BeautifulSoup was used to collect the raw data from google play store and save them in csv format.

4.1.2 Parsing Raw Data. The raw data has been parsed according to a set of pattern templates, the attributes of which specify a unique searchable signature for each attribute of interest. For example, in the system apps name, category, rating, reviews, installs, size, price etc. has been extracted.

4.1.3 Data Pre-processing. As the data was crawled from google play store, it contains some noises. Noises in google play store reviews are natural as people express their feelings informally in the review section. Besides, google play store contains other noises such as price includes $ symbol. So, at first some general cleaning is done, such as:

—Removing the data frame which has $ symbol.
—Removing the duplicate values.
—Since the columns Installs and Price are in string, we converted them into integer and float format respectively.
—Removing Currency symbol from the Price and making it float.

To handle the review section, the following pre-processing tasks are taken:

4.1.3.1 HTML Tags. Reviews may contain html tags. So, html tag has been removed from the raw data.

4.1.3.2 Stop Word. There are some words which do not make any significant change in absence of them. Those words are called stop word. There are a lot of stop words in English language. Stop words list have been made from general stop words. Thus stop words have been removed from the data set.

4.1.3.3 Tokenization. Given a character sequence and a defined document unit, tokenization is the task of chopping it up into pieces, called tokens, perhaps at the same time throwing away certain characters, such as punctuation.

4.1.3.4 Stemming. Stemming is a technique to remove affixes from a word, ending up with the stem. Stemming was used in the data set to reduce the number of words and made our feature vector size small.

4.1.3.5 Correlation Analysis. Correlation is a bivariate analysis that measures the strength of association between two variables and the direction of the relationship. In terms of the strength of relationship, the value of the correlation coefficient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw Form</th>
<th>Processed Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I like eat delicious food. That’s I’m cooking.</td>
<td>like eat delicious food thats cooking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This help eating healthy exercise regular basis</td>
<td>food cas... help eating healthy exercise regular basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Works great especially going grocery store</td>
<td>work great especially going grocery store</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best idea us</td>
<td>best idea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best way</td>
<td>best way</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Application review in raw form and processed form
varies between +1 and -1. A value of 1 indicates a perfect degree of association between the two variables. As the correlation coefficient value goes towards 0, the relationship between the two variables will be weaker. The direction of the relationship is indicated by the sign of the coefficient; a + sign indicates a positive relationship and a - sign indicates a negative relationship. Pandas dataframe.corr() is used to find the pairwise correlation of all columns in the dataframe. Any NA values are automatically excluded. For any non-numeric data type columns in the dataframe it is ignored.

—Spearman Rank Correlation: When the variables are not normally distributed or the relationship between the variables is not linear, it may be more appropriate to use the Spearman rank correlation method. We have used Spearman’s correlation method in our system. The formula is—

\[ r_s = \frac{6 \sum d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)} \]  

where:
- \( n \) = number of data pairs
- \( d_i \) = rank \( x_i \) - rank \( y_i \)

—Pearson Correlation

Pearson r correlation is the most widely used correlation statistic to measure the degree of the relationship between linearly related variables. For example, in our google play store data analysis project, if we want to measure how two variables like apps installation and size are related to each other, Pearson r correlation is used to measure the degree of relationship between the two. The point-biserial correlation is conducted with the Pearson correlation formula except that one of the variables is dichotomous. The following formula is used to calculate the Pearson r correlation:

\[ r = \frac{n \sum x y - \sum x \sum y}{\sqrt{n \sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2} \sqrt{n \sum y^2 - (\sum y)^2}} \]  

where:
- \( \sum x \) = sum of x scores
- \( \sum y \) = sum of y scores
- \( \sum x^2 \) = sum of squared x scores
- \( \sum y^2 \) = sum of squared y scores

4.1.3.6 Keyword Extraction. The following rules are applied—
- Each document have been tokenized.
- Each document have been lemmatized.
- Stop words have been removed.
- For every term in the current document, and every document in the set, term frequency have been computed.
- For every term, the inverse document frequency have been computed.
- For every term in the current document, and every document in the set, tf-idf score have been computed.
- The top k words have been chosen by tf-idf score.

Thus, we have computed the top keywords.

4.2 Flow Graph of Google Play Store Data Mining and Analysis

Fig. 2. Flow graph of the whole system.

4.3 Analytical Representation of the Architecture

This section gives an analytical description of the system architecture given in previous sections. The system architecture above illustrates the internal and external structure of system modules integrated together in one package to form one system. The following subsections provide a brief background overview of the tools used and the implementation details of the different modules of the developed system starting from the back-end to the front-end. The whole system was developed on Windows operating system, PyCharm IDE and Jupyter Notebook.

4.3.1 Crawling Data from Google Play Store.

Algorithm 1 Crawling Google Apps Information

1: Let S be the set of URLs to pages waiting to be indexed.
2: Initially S is the singleton known as the seed.
3: Take an element of S and retrieve the pages, P that it refer-
4: Parse the page P and extract the set of URLs L it has links to.
5: Update S = S + L
6: Repeat as many times as necessary.

4.3.2 Cleaning Raw Data. After data is crawled from google play the information are in raw form, the data needs to be cleaned. Several cleanings like removing URL, dropping NA values, removing duplicate values, converting data format, removing stop words etc have been performed.

4.3.3 Correlation Algorithm. To find the correlation, two al-

—Spearman Rank Correlation Algorithm
—Pearson Correlation Algorithm
Algorithm 2 Cleaning raw data
1: Begin
2: remove URL from application information
3: drop missing values
4: remove duplicate values
5: remove + symbol from installs values
6: convert string into integer for installs values
7: remove $ symbol from price values
8: convert string into float for price values
9: convert the raw data into lowercase form
10: search for html tags in application review
11: if html tags found then
12: remove html tags
13: search for stop words in application review
14: end if
15: stop words found then
16: remove the stop words
17: tokenize the application review
18: apply stemming on the application review
19: end if
20: End

Algorithm 3 Spearman Rank Correlation
1: Begin
2: function correlationCoefficient(X, Y)
3: n = X.size  \{ n is the no of observations \}
4: \[ d_{sum} = 0 \]
5: for all i in 0...N do
6: \[ d_i = X_i Y_i \]
7: \[ num = (6 * (d_i)^2) \]
8: \[ den = n(n^2 - 1) \]
9: \[ d_{sum} = d_{sum} + d_i \]
10: return num/den
11: end for
12: End

Algorithm 4 Pearson Correlation
1: Begin
2: function correlationCoefficient(X, Y)
3: n = X.size  \{ n is the no of observations \}
4: \[ \sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma_x = 0 \] \{ X is the standard deviation of X \}
5: \[ \sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{xy} = 0 \]
6: for i in 0...N-1 do
7: \[ \sigma_x = \sigma_x + X_i \]
8: \[ \sigma_y = \sigma_y + Y_i \]
9: \[ \sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{xy} + X_i \star Y_i \]
10: \[ \sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{xy} + X_i \star Y_i \]
11: \[ \sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{xy} + Y_i \star Y_i \]
12: end for
13: \[ num = (n * \sigma_{xy} - \sigma_x \star \sigma_y) \]
14: \[ den = sqrt([n * \sigma_{xy} - (\sigma_x)^2] * [n * \sigma_{xy} - (\sigma_y)^2]) \]
15: return num/den
16: End

5. EMPIRICAL EVALUATION

Google Play Store Data Mining and Analysis has been developed on a machine having the Windows 10, core i3 processor with 4GB RAM. The system has been developed in Python. Data is stored in csv format.

5.1 Crawling Mechanism of Data set

Application details have been crawled from google play store to make our data set. After collecting all the application details, they were classified into some different category like application name, rating, reviews, installations, size, price etc. and finally put them in a CSV file. They were stored into two CSV file. One CSV file is for storing application name and details information. Another CSV file contains the review section related to application.

Table 2: Summary of collection method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection Type</th>
<th>No. of Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of unique apps</td>
<td>10842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reviews</td>
<td>255993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Performance Measure

50 random apps have been collected from the google play store. Then the correlation of rating with reviews, size, installs and price have been checked. The formula that was used for determining accuracy -

Accuracy = (Actual Coefficient-Observed Coefficient)/Actual Coefficient

Here only positive values have been considered.

Table 3: Accuracy using Spearman Rank Correlation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Actual Coefficient</th>
<th>Observed Coefficient</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Relative Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rating &amp; Reviews</td>
<td>0.4303</td>
<td>0.4123</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>95.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating &amp; Size</td>
<td>0.0342</td>
<td>0.0332</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating &amp; Installs</td>
<td>0.3526</td>
<td>0.4213</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>83.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating &amp; Price</td>
<td>0.0245</td>
<td>0.0192</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>78.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Accuracy using Pearson Correlation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Actual Coefficient</th>
<th>Observed Coefficient</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Relative Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rating &amp; Reviews</td>
<td>0.0647</td>
<td>0.0610</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>94.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating &amp; Size</td>
<td>0.0999</td>
<td>0.0854</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>83.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating &amp; Install</td>
<td>0.0706</td>
<td>0.0543</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating &amp; Price</td>
<td>-0.0143</td>
<td>-0.0189</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then the accuracy result from Spearman Rank correlation and Pearson Correlation have been compared. The comparison graph is given below.

From this comparison graph, it can be said that Spearman Rank Correlation gives more accurate result than Pearson Correlation.

6. CONCLUSION

Google Play Store Data Mining and Analysis have been developed to analyze on the data of google play store. The relationships among various factors that play a vital role have been successfully shown. The data have been categorized into their category and the condition of each category of apps haven been shown. Besides, the correlation among apps rating, review, size, installs and price have been determined. Thus, it helps to determine current condition of google play store. The correlation computed by Spearman Rank Correlation and Pearson Correlation have been compared. We worked with review section as well. The most common positive and negative keywords in review section for free and paid apps have been determined.
Thus, it helps the developer to decide which category of apps are performing best in the market. So, it helps app developers to develop more efficient apps to compete into application business.

In case of correlation, ratings, reviews, installs, size and price have been considered. But other factors like content rating, android version, apps version can be considered for better accuracy. The system analyzes review sections. But application description can be crawled as well to find the correlation more efficiently. Analyzing this data will be challenging, but this will improve the quality of the current system.

7. REFERENCES


