



Separable Programming to a Multivariate Allocation Problem

Kaynat Nasser
 Ph.D. (Statistics)
 Assistant Professor
 College of Engineering, Teerthankar Mahaveer
 University,
 Moradabad, India

Q.S. Ahmad
 Ph.D. (Operation Research)
 Associate Professor
 Department of Mathematics, Integral University,
 Lucknow, India

ABSTRACT

In this paper the multivariate allocation problem with upper limits on the available costs for various characters is considered. This problem is formulated as a separable programming problem and then solving it by separable programming approach. A numerical illustration is also given.

Keywords

Multivariate allocation problem, non-linear programming, separable programming

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of sample allocation in multivariate stratified sampling has drawn the attention of the researchers for a long time starting apparently with Neyman (1934) [1]. Several criteria of allocation are put forward in the literature. For example, Ghosh (1958) [2] has considered an allocation based on the minimization of generalized variance. Yates (1960) [3] has suggested a procedure in which the cost is minimized for given precision for the estimate of each characteristic. Cochran (1963) [4] suggested the use of the average of individual optimum allocation for various characters. Kokan and Khan (1967) [5] gave an analytical solution to the above problem. Rao, T. J. (1993) [6] has reviewed many of the available criteria of allocation and also suggested new procedures.

We consider the multivariate allocation problem when the costs of enumerating the different characters have been fixed as upper limits. The objectives to be minimized are the variances of the estimates for various characteristics. The problem is formulated as a non-linear programming problem with linear objective function and several non-linear convex constraints, which is of separable nature. In this paper, an approximate solution to this problem is obtained by using separable programming technique.

2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Consider a multivariate population partitioned into L strata. Suppose that p characteristics are measured on each unit of the population. We assume that the strata boundaries are fixed in advance. Let n_i be the number of units drawn without replacement from i^{th} stratum ($i = 1, 2, \dots, L$). Let N_i be the size of the i^{th} stratum. For j^{th} character, an unbiased estimate of the population mean \bar{Y}_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, p$),

denoted by \bar{y}_{jst} has its sampling variance

$$V(\bar{y}_{jst}) = \sum_{i=1}^L \left(\frac{1}{n_i} - \frac{1}{N_i} \right) W_i^2 S_{ij}^2 \quad (2.1)$$

Where $W_i = \frac{N_i}{N}$, $S_{ij}^2 = \frac{1}{N_i - 1} \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} (y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{ij})^2$

Substituting $a_{ij} = W_i^2 S_{ij}^2$, we get

$$V(\bar{y}_{jst}) = \sum_{i=1}^L \frac{a_{ij}}{n_i} - \sum_{i=1}^L \frac{a_{ij}}{N_i}$$

Let C_{ij} be the cost of enumerating the j^{th} character in the i^{th} stratum and let C_j be the amount received for j^{th} character. Then assuming linear cost function, the cost of the survey may be expressed $\sum_{i=1}^L C_{ij} n_i \leq C_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ (2.2)

Further, the survey is to be conducted in such a way that the variances for all the p characteristics are minimized.

$$\sum_{i=1}^L \frac{a_{ij}}{n_i}, \quad (N_i^s \text{ are given})$$

Where $a_{ij} = W_i^2 S_{ij}^2$,

Using the transformation $\frac{1}{n_i} = x_i$, the allocation problem

reduces to the following multi-objective convex programming

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } V_j = \sum_{i=1}^L a_{ij} x_i, \\ \text{Subject to } \sum_{i=1}^L \frac{C_{ij}}{x_i} \leq C_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, p \\ \frac{1}{N_i} \leq x_i \leq 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

As both the objective function and the constraints are separable, it is possible to write the problem (2.3) in the form of a separable programming problem as follows:



$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize } \sum_{i=1}^L f_{ij}(x_i), \\ & \text{Subject to } \sum_{i=1}^L g_{ij}(x_i) \leq C_j, \quad j=1,2,\dots,p \\ & \quad \quad \quad \frac{1}{N_i} \leq x_i \leq 1, \quad i=1,2,\dots,L \end{aligned} \right\} (2.4)$$

Where $f_{ij}(x_i) = a_{ij}x_i$,

$$g_{ij}(x_i) = \frac{C_{ij}}{x_i}, \quad i=1,2,\dots,L$$

3. SOLUTION USING SEPARABLE PROGRAMMING

The non-linear functions $g_{ij}(x_i)$ can be approximated by piecewise linear functions. Let the feasible range of the variables be x_i , given by the interval $[\alpha_i, \beta_i]$ and choose a set of m_i grid points $\alpha_{ir} (r=1,2,\dots,m_i)$ such that $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i1} < \alpha_{i2} < \dots < \alpha_{im_i} = \beta_i$.

Every point x_i in the grid $[\alpha_{ir}, \alpha_{i,r+1}]$ can be expressed as

$$x_i = \lambda_{ir} \alpha_{ir} + \lambda_{i,r+1} \alpha_{i,r+1} \quad (3.1)$$

Where $\lambda_{ir} + \lambda_{i,r+1} = 1$

and $\lambda_{ir} \geq 0, \lambda_{i,r+1} \geq 0$

A linear approximation for a function $g_{ij}(x_i)$ in the grid interval $[\alpha_{ir}, \alpha_{i,r+1}]$ is $\hat{g}_{ij}(x_i) = \lambda_{ir} g_{ij}(\alpha_{ir}) + \lambda_{i,r+1} g_{ij}(\alpha_{i,r+1})$. In general for $x_i \in [\alpha_i, \beta_i]$, the piecewise linear approximation $\hat{g}_{ij}(x_i)$ can be written as

$$\hat{g}_{ij}(x_i) = \sum_{r=1}^{m_i} \lambda_{ir} g_{ij}(\alpha_{ir}) \quad (3.2)$$

With $x_i = \sum_{r=1}^{m_i} \lambda_{ir} \alpha_{ir}, \sum_{r=1}^{m_i} \lambda_{ir} = 1; \lambda_{ir} \geq 0$,

For $r=1,2,\dots,m_i$

Provided for each, i at the most two adjacent λ_{ir} are positive.

An approximating linear program to the non-linear separable program (2.4) is thus obtained as

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize } Z = \sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{r=1}^{m_i} \lambda_{ir} g_{ij}(\alpha_{ir}) \\ & \text{Subject to } \sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{r=1}^{m_i} \lambda_{ir} g_{ij}(\alpha_{ir}) \leq C_j, \quad j=1,2,\dots,p \\ & \quad \quad \quad \sum_{r=1}^{m_i} \lambda_{ir} = 1, \lambda_{ir} \geq 0, \quad r=1,2,\dots,m_i \end{aligned} \right\} (3.3)$$

Problem (3.3) is a linear programming problem and can be

solved by simplex method using restricted basis entry rule for separable functions Hadley (1964)[7]. The optimal values of $\lambda_{ir}^* (r=1,2,\dots,m_i \ \& \ i=1,2,\dots,L)$ obtained by solving problem (3.3) yield an approximate optimal solution \hat{x} to the original problem (2.4) as

$$\hat{x}_i = \sum_{r=1}^{m_i} \lambda_{ir}^* \alpha_{ir}, \quad i=1,2,\dots,L$$

Example: Consider an allocation problem with one character ($p=1$) & two strata ($L=2$), with following information:

i	W_i	S_{i1}	S_{i2}	C_{i1}	C_{i2}	N_i
1	0.3	2	3	0.6	1.5	18
2	0.45	4	1	0.8	2	27

The variance coefficients matrix is given by:

$$(a_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.36 & 0.81 \\ 3.24 & 0.2025 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us fix the budget at 80 and 100 units.

Using the above information the problem in (2.3) will be as follows:

Thus,

$$\text{Min. } V = 1.17x_1 + 3.4425x_2$$

$$\text{s.t. } \frac{0.6}{x_1} + \frac{0.8}{x_2} \leq 80$$

$$\frac{1.5}{x_1} + \frac{2}{x_2} \leq 100$$

$$0.055 \leq x_1 \leq 1$$

$$0.037 \leq x_2 \leq 1$$

$$\alpha_{11} = 0.055, \alpha_{12} = 0.2912, \alpha_{13} = 0.5275, \alpha_{14} = 0.7367, \alpha_{15} = 1$$

$$\alpha_{21} = 0.055, \alpha_{22} = 0.2912, \alpha_{23} = 0.5275, \alpha_{24} = 0.7367, \alpha_{25} = 1$$

The piecewise linear approximation to the function $f_1(x_1) = 1.17x_1, f_2(x_2) = 3.4425x_2$

$$g_{11}(x_1) = \frac{0.6}{x_1}, g_{12}(x_2) = \frac{0.8}{x_2}, g_{21}(x_1) = \frac{1.5}{x_1}, g_{22}(x_2) = \frac{2}{x_2} \quad \text{are}$$

$$\hat{f}_1(x_1) = 0.064\lambda_{11} + 0.341\lambda_{12} + 0.617\lambda_{13} + 0.862\lambda_{14} + 1.17\lambda_{15}$$

$$\hat{f}_2(x_2) = 0.189\lambda_{21} + 1.003\lambda_{22} + 1.816\lambda_{23} + 2.536\lambda_{24} + 3.443\lambda_{25}$$

$$\hat{g}_{11}(x_1) = 10.909\lambda_{11} + 2.060\lambda_{12} + 1.137\lambda_{13} + 0.814\lambda_{14} + 0.6\lambda_{15}$$

$$\hat{g}_{12}(x_2) = 14.545\lambda_{21} + 2.747\lambda_{22} + 1.517\lambda_{23} + 1.086\lambda_{24} + 0.8\lambda_{25}$$

$$\hat{g}_{21}(x_1) = 27.273\lambda_{11} + 5.151\lambda_{12} + 2.844\lambda_{13} + 1.964\lambda_{14} + 1.5\lambda_{15}$$

$$\hat{g}_{22}(x_2) = 36.364\lambda_{21} + 6.868\lambda_{22} + 3.791\lambda_{23} + 2.619\lambda_{24} + 2.0\lambda_{25}$$

Thus the approximated separable programming problem becomes

$$\text{Minimize } \hat{f}_1(x_1) + \hat{f}_2(x_2)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \hat{g}_{11}(x_1) + \hat{g}_{12}(x_2) \leq 80$$

$$\hat{g}_{21}(x_1) + \hat{g}_{22}(x_2) \leq 100$$

$$\lambda_{11} + \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{13} + \lambda_{14} + \lambda_{15} = 1$$

$$\lambda_{21} + \lambda_{22} + \lambda_{23} + \lambda_{24} + \lambda_{25} = 1$$



Solving the above linear programming problem by simplex method using restricted basis entry rule. The optimal solution is given by

$$\lambda_{11} = 1, \lambda_{12} = 0, \lambda_{13} = 0, \lambda_{14} = 0, \lambda_{15} = 0$$

$$\lambda_{21} = 1, \lambda_{22} = 0, \lambda_{23} = 0, \lambda_{24} = 0, \lambda_{25} = 0$$

Thus,
$$x_1 = \sum_{r=1}^5 \lambda_{1r} \alpha_{1r} = 0.055$$

$$x_2 = \sum_{r=1}^5 \lambda_{2r} \alpha_{2r} = 0.055$$

With **Min. Z = 0.2537**

4. CONCLUSION

In this particular example the optimal solution for the varying intervals(3,5 and 9) is same i.e., Minimum Z=0.2537 with $x_1 = 0.055$ and $x_2 = 0.055$

5. FURTHER STUDY

This can be checked for other examples whether the no. of intervals make any difference to the optimality of the solution or not and if us then how many no. of intervals.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Neyman, J. (1934). On the two different aspects of representative method: The method of stratified sampling and the method of purposive selection. Jour Roy. Stas. Soc., 97, 558-606.
- [2] Ghosh, S. P. (1958). A note on stratified random sampling with multiple characters. Cal. Stat. Assoc. Bull., 8, 81-89.
- [3] Yates, F. (1960). Sampling methods for censuses and surveys (2nded). Charles Griffin and Co. Ltd. London.
- [4] Cochran, W.G. (1977). Sampling Techniques. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [5] Kokan, A.R. and Khan, S.U. (1967). Optimum Allocation in Multivariate Surveys-An Analytical Solution. Jour. Roy. Stat. Soc. Ser. B.29, 115-125.
- [6] Rao, T.J. (1993). On certain problems of sampling designs and estimation for multiple characteristics. Sankhya B, 55, 372-384.
- [7] Hadley, G. (1964). Non Linear and Dynamic Programming. Addison – Wesley, publishing company, London.
- [8] Pirzada, S. And Maqbool, S. (2003). Optimal Allocation in Multivariate Sampling Through Chebyshev Approximation. Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc. (Second Series) 26, 221-230
- [9] Morris, H. Hansen, et. Al. (1951) Response errors in surveys. JASA, Vol. 46, No. 254, 147-190.
- [10] Khan, S. (1971). Minimizing a homogeneous separable function constrained by linear inequalities. Aligarh Bulletin of Maths. 1, 63-71.
- [11] Bazaraa, M.S., Sherali, H.D. and Shetty, C.M. (2006) Nonlinear Programming: Theory and Algorithms. John Wiley and Sons, New York, Third Edition.
- [12] Day, C.D. (2010). A Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithm for Multivariate Optimal Allocation, Section on Survey Research Methods – JSM.
- [13] García, J.A.D. and Cortez, L.U. (2006). Optimum Allocation in Multivariate Stratified Sampling: Multi-Objective Programming. Comunicaciones Del Cimat, no I-06-07.
- [14] Khan, M. F., Ali I. and Ahmad, Q.S. (2011). Chebyshev Approximate Solution to Allocation Problem in Multiple Objective Surveys with Random Costs, American Journal of Computational Mathematics, 1, pp. 247-251.
- [15] Kozak, M. (2006) . Multivariate Sample Allocation: Application of Random Search Method, Statistics in Transition, 7 (4), pp. 889-900.
- [16] Mohd. Vaseem Ismail , Kaynat Nasser , Qazi Shoeb Ahmad. (2010). Multi-objective convex programming problem arising in multivariate sampling,” International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology Vol. 2, No. 6, 2010, pp. 291-296.
- [17] M. Khan, I. Ali, Y. Raghav and A. Bari, (2012). Allocation in Multivariate Stratified Surveys with Non-Linear Random Cost Function, American Journal of Operations Research, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 100-105.